## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	) Criminal N	No. 1:19 -MJ-843 (DJS)
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
JACOB DELANEY	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	OBDEB	

- A. The Court incorporates into this Order the stipulated facts set forth above and hereby adopts them as findings.
- B. The Court has considered its obligation under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) to determine whether a continuance serves the ends of justice in a manner that outweighs both the public interest and the defendant's rights. The Court finds that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(b) and (h)(7)(A), the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy indictment and trial because:
- 1. This delay is necessary for the reasons articulated in General Order No. 58, In Re: Coronavirus Public Emergency; denial would be likely to deny the United States reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for the return and filing of an indictment, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); grand jury and trial preparation involves close contact with witnesses, which is inconsistent with advice from the Centers for Disease Control and may be hazardous to the health of either or both of counsel and the witnesses; additional time is necessary for defense counsel to review results of forensic examinations of the defendant's devices in person in order to determine whether to resolve this matter short of indictment, which is contrary to social distancing guidelines; forensic examinations of all devices

have not yet been completed given the timing of the arrest in this case and therefore the defense will need additional time to receive and evaluate those forensic results, to conduct its own investigation and to explore plea discussions, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, making the return of an indictment in the specified time unreasonable, *see* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iii).

BASED ON THE STIPULATED FACTS AND THE COURT'S RELATED FINDINGS IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

2. That the time within which an indictment must be filed under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), be enlarged to and including 60 days from the date of this Order, and that time be excluded pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), from the computation of the time within which an indictment must be filed under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), because of the reasons articulated in General Order No. 58, In Re: Coronavirus Public Emergency; denial would be likely to deny all counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(B)(iv); the presentation of this matter to the grand jury involves close contact with witnesses, which is inconsistent with advice from the Centers for Disease Control and may be hazardous to the health of grand jurors, prosecutors, agents, and other lay witnesses; additional time is necessary for defense counsel to review results of forensic examinations of the defendant's devices in person in order to determine whether to resolve this matter short of indictment, which is contrary to social distancing guidelines; forensic examinations of all devices have not yet been completed given the timing of the arrest in this case and therefore the defense will need additional time to receive and evaluate those forensic results, to conduct its own investigation and to explore plea discussions, taking into account the exercise of due

## Case 1:20-cr-00335-TJM Document 17-1 Filed 04/24/20 Page 3 of 3

diligence, making the return of an indictment in the spe	ecified time unreasonable, see 18 U.S.C. §
3161(h)(7)(B)(iii).	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated and entered this day of April, 2020.	
	Hon. Daniel J. Stewart
	United States Magistrate Judge